ORGANIC SULFUR CHEMISTRY. XXI. TRISULFIDE FORMATION BY ALKOXIDE DECOMPOSITION OF SULFENYLTHIOCARBONATES 1 a

David N. Harpp* and Alessandro Granata Department of Chemistry, McGill University Montreal, Quebec, Canada

(Received in USA 3 June 1976; received in UK for publication 9 July 1976)

While sulfenylthiocarbonates (1) are easily prepared and stored they have received

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{RSSCO}_2 \text{R}^{\, \text{!`}} \\ \underline{1} \end{array}$$

very little attention in spite of the fact that they appear to be highly reactive towards a variety of nucleophilic reagents 1b . One of their reactions which has been explored in some detail by Brois 3 involves their use as precursors to unsymmetrical disulfides $\underline{2}$. This approach has enabled Hiskey and co-workers to use this functionality as a useful protective group in the synthesis of complex peptide disulfides 4 . The reaction has been

$$\begin{array}{c}
0\\RSSCOR' \xrightarrow{R"SH} RSSR" + COS + R'OH \\
1 2
\end{array}$$

suggested 3 to proceed via attack of the thiol on the aryl /alkyl sulfur atom with concomitant displacement of COS and R'OH. We felt that it might be possible to effect a synthesis of sulfenate esters (RSOR") 5 by a similar reaction using an alkoxide or alcohol as a nucleophile.

Surprisingly, when equimolar amounts of sulfenylthiocarbonate \underline{la} (R = C_2H_5 ; R' = CH_3 ; ethylsulfenylmethylthiocarbonate) and sodium methoxide were allowed to react, both ethyl di-and trisulfide were produced in a ratio of approximately 1:1. Similar results were obtained using \underline{lc} and \underline{ld} (R = \underline{ipr} , \underline{t} -Bu). That the nature of the base influences the reaction pathway was demonstrated by the observation that as the alkoxide was varied from methoxide, ethoxide, isopropoxide to \underline{t} -butoxide, the ratio of trisulfide to disulfide was increased considerably. Thus, the decomposition of \underline{l} proceeds cleanly in the presence of a molar amount of \underline{t} -butoxide to give trisulfide in good yield (Table).

$$\begin{array}{c}
0\\RSSCOCH_3
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{(CH}_3)_3CO^-} RSSSR\\
1
3$$

The mechanism of the reaction may be formulated by attack of the base on the carbonyl carbon to displace the thiomercaptide anion. The latter then reacts with

another molecule of $\underline{1}$ to give trisulfide displacing potassium thiocarbonate. In each reaction a solid was isolated which contained salt $\underline{5}$. Evidence as to its identity was gained by its reaction with C_6H_5SC1 to give sulfenylthiocarbonate 1f in reasonable yield.

A typical preparation is as follows. Potassium t-butoxide (1.8g, 0.015 mol) is dissolved in 10 ml of methanol and added dropwise to 2.8g (0.0155 mol) of t-butyl sulfenyl-thiocarbonate ($\underline{1d}$). During the addition the temperature of the reaction is maintained between 0-10°. The reaction mixture is then warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The solvent is then evaporated and the t-butyl trisulfide collected as the fraction boiling at 75-8° (0.3 mm); yield: 14g (86%).

From the above, <u>bis</u> sulfenylthiocarbonates were suggested to be precursors for cyclic trisulfides. Such cycles have proved difficult to synthesize by a variety of means 8 . When 1,6-hexanedithiol was treated with $CISCO_2CH_3$, the <u>bis</u> derivative <u>6</u> was obtained in 95% yield. Treatment of this material with potassium t-butoxide in methanol gave a semisolid which was chromatographed (silica gel, hexane/chloroform). Solvents were removed from the early fractions giving a solid which was thoroughly leached with hot ethanol. On cooling, a crystalline solid resulted ($\frac{7}{2}$, mp 75.5°-77.5°). The later fractions from the column provided an oil which became more viscous in time. The pmr properties of the two substances are similar, however the solid gave a correct combustion analysis and an exact mass measurement for the cyclic 9-membered trisulfide 9 .

Finally, 13 C analysis of $\underline{7}$ showed three clean signals at 40.29, 29.03 and 27.80 ppm (TMS) consistent with the structure.

The scope and mechanism of this reaction are under further investigation.

 $\frac{\text{TABLE}}{\text{RSSCO}_2\text{CH}_3 + (\text{CH}_3)_3\text{CO}^-} \longrightarrow \text{RSSSR}$

No	RSSCO₂ CH₃	bp/mm ∘C	lit bp	No	% RSSSR	bp/mm (mp) °C	lit bp(mp)
<u>la</u>	CH ₃ CH ₂ -	91-3/14	53-4/1 ³	<u>3a</u>	67	60-1/3	57/3 ¹⁰
<u>b</u>	CH ₃ CH ₂ CH ₂ -	70/1		<u>b</u>	70	65/0.5	68-9/0.9 ¹¹
<u>c</u>	(CH ₃) ₂ CH-	99/13	-	<u>c</u>	78	56/2	75-6/5 ¹¹
<u>d</u>	(CH ₃) ₃ C-	100/5	-	<u>d</u> _	86	75-8/3	86/4 ¹⁰
<u>e</u>	C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ -	140/3	-	<u>e</u>	46	(49-50)	(49) ¹²
<u>f</u>	C ₆ H ₅ -	120/1.5	-	<u>f</u>	98	oil	13
4	$(CH_2)_6^{-14}$		-	<u>5</u> ,	16	(75.5-77.5	5)

REFERENCES

- For part XX see D.N. Harpp and T.G. Back, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>41</u>, 0000 (1976) in press;
 (a) presented in part at the 58th Chemical Institute of Canada Conference, Toronto,
 May 1975; (b) D.N. Harpp and A. Granata, unpublished results.
- 2. For a review of the chemistry of 1, see: G. Zumach and E. Kühle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 9, 54 (1970).
- S.J. Brois, J.F. Pilot and H.W. Barnum, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, 92, 7629 (1970).
- 4. R.G. Hiskey, N. Muthukumaraswamy and R.R. Vunnam, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 40, 950 (1975).
- At present no widely useful procedure is available for the synthesis of this class of compounds.
- 6. There is no reaction between 1 and alcohols.
- It was further noted that salt 5 showed an ultra violet absorption at 221 nm, in excellent agreement with that published for 5 (222 nm); C.N. Murphy and G. Winter, Aust. J. Chem., 26, 755 (1973).

- 8. B. Milligan and J.M. Swan, <u>J. Chem Soc.</u>, 2901 (1965); H. Brintzinger, M. Langheck and E. Ellwanger, <u>Chem. Ber.</u>, 87, 320 (1954).
- 9. Calc'd: C,40.0; H,6.7; S,53.3; found: C,40.2; H,7.1; S;52.9; ms: Calc'd for C₆H₁₂S₃ 180.0093; found: 180.0103; Rast molecular weight determination (camphor) 196, 213. Attempts to purify <u>7</u> without column chromatography resulted in a much lower yield of monomer. To our knowledge, compound <u>7</u> is the largest monocyclic trisulfide reported to date: <u>cf.</u> H. Fritz and C.D. Weis, Tetrahedron Lett., 1659 (1974) for an unsaturated bicyclic case.
- 10. S.F. Birch, T.V. Cullum and R.A. Dean, J. Inst. Petrol., 39, 206 (1953).
- 11. T.L. Cairns, G.L. Evans, A.W. Larchar and B.C. McKusick, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, 74, 3982 (1952).
- 12. S. Hayashi, M. Furukawa, J. Yamamoto and K. Hamamura, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 15, 1310 (1967).
- 13. Decomposed to diphenyl disulfide on distillation; yellow oil collected, bp 156-171/0.5; crystallized mp 59-61; this decomposition has been reported: H. Lecher, <u>Chem Ber.</u>, 58, 417 (1925).
- 14. After column chromatography, <u>6</u> gave a homogeneous tlc as well as a pmr spectrum consistent with its structure.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank the National Research Council of Canada for financial support of this work.